

# NEUROPLASTICITY, MOTOR LEARNING, AND TASK-ORIENTED TRAINING IN STROKE REHABILITATION: A COMPREHENSIVE EVIDENCE SYNTHESIS AND STRUCTURED CLINICAL APPLICATION FRAMEWORK FOR THE INDIAN CONTEXT

<sup>1</sup>HARSH KIRANKUMAR BHATT, <sup>2</sup>Dr. Deepak Lohar, <sup>3</sup>Dr. K.M. Annamalai, <sup>4</sup>Dr. Jafar Khan, <sup>5</sup>Dr. Dipika Balal, <sup>6</sup>Dr. Payal Sharma, <sup>7</sup>Dr. Shubham Menariya, <sup>8</sup>Dr. Vaibhav Aggarwal, <sup>9</sup>Pinal Prajapati, <sup>10</sup>Rajesh Tiwari

<sup>\*1</sup>M.P.Th. scholar, Pacific college of Physiotherapy, Pacific medical university, Udaipur, Rajasthan

<sup>2</sup>Professor, Pacific college of Physiotherapy, Pacific medical university, Udaipur, Rajasthan

<sup>3</sup> Consultant Senior Physiotherapist & Director Physio Alliance Apollo Hospital, Ahmedabad, Gujarat, India

<sup>4</sup>Dean & HOD, Pacific college of Physiotherapy, Pacific medical university, Udaipur, Rajasthan

<sup>5</sup> Associate Professor, Pacific college of Physiotherapy, Pacific medical university, Udaipur, Rajasthan

<sup>6</sup>Assistant Professor, Pacific college of Physiotherapy, Pacific medical university, Udaipur, Rajasthan

<sup>7</sup>Assistant Professor, Pacific college of Physiotherapy, Pacific medical university, Udaipur, Rajasthan

<sup>8</sup>Associate Professor in Swami Rama Himalayan University {SRHU}, Dehradun, Jolly Grant

<sup>9</sup>M.P.Th. scholar, Pacific college of Physiotherapy, Pacific medical university, Udaipur, Rajasthan

<sup>10</sup>M.P.Th. scholar, Pacific college of Physiotherapy, Pacific medical university, Udaipur, Rajasthan

DOI: [https://doi.org/10.63001/tbs.2026.v21.i02.S.I\(2\).pp21866-21895](https://doi.org/10.63001/tbs.2026.v21.i02.S.I(2).pp21866-21895)

## KEYWORDS

*Task-Oriented Training;  
neuroplasticity;  
motor learning;  
stroke rehabilitation India;  
Barthel Index;  
Motor Assessment Scale;  
cortical reorganization;  
evidence-based  
physiotherapy;  
Cochrane review;  
functional independence.*

## Article Info

**Received: 25.05.2026**

**Accepted: 13.06.2026**

**Published: 23.06.2026**

## Abstract

**Background:** Stroke is the major cause of long-term acquired neurological disability globally, motor impairment is observed in 70-80% of the survivors, and functional independence is the major rehabilitation goal. India bears an unequal stroke burden, which is younger age of patients, a preponderance of hemorrhagic stroke, and a severely under-funded rehabilitation system. There has been a record of conventional physiotherapy on the inability to transfer impairment-level gains into actual functional independence. The mechanistically coherent approach to post-stroke motor rehabilitation based on the neuroscience of experience-dependent neuroplasticity, systems motor control theory, and motor learning science presents a Task-Oriented Training (TOT) that directly overcomes these limitations.

**Objective :** (1) To undertake a synthesis of the neurobiological and motor learning basis of Task-Oriented Training; (2) to critically review the international evidence base of randomized controlled trials, Cochrane systematic reviews and meta-analyses; (3) to contextualize the findings to the unique epidemiological, infrastructural, and clinical features of the Indian stroke rehabilitation landscape and (4) to make

**Methods:** A systematic review of the literature in the form of a comprehensive narrative was performed on peer-reviewed articles that were located during searches in PubMed, PEDro, Cochrane Library, CINAHL, and IndMED databases. Key words were: task-oriented training, repetitive task training, motor learning stroke, neuroplasticity rehabilitation, stroke rehabilitation India, Barthel Index, Motor Assessment Scale, Carr Shepherd motor relearning, experience-dependent plasticity, and functional task practice. Cochrane reviews, clinical practice guidelines from the American Heart Association and European Stroke Organisation, and high-quality RCTs with PEDro scores  $\geq 6$  were prioritized. RCT data, which is to be performed locally (Bhatt HK, Pacific Medical University, Udaipur, 2025) are integrated as an Indian-context source of evidence throughout.

**Result :** Evidence base of TOT in stroke rehabilitation is mechanistically, internally, and externally validated in various population groups and health care systems. The neuroplasticity studies of experience dependence, held by the ten-principle framework of Kleim and Jones, defines that the cortical reorganization of the post-stroke involves rehabilitation training specificity, volume, intensity, and salience directly, which TOT is the only training that meets all these criteria. Numerous Cochrane reports have shown statistically significant advantages of task-specific and repetitive functional training of upper limb functionality, gait, stability, and ADL independence. The meta-analysis of 467 RCTs by Veerbeek et al. finds the highest-evidence physical therapy modality after stroke to be task-specific training. The locally performed RCT indicates that the Barthel Index improvement and Motor Assessment Scale improvement in TOT participants at six weeks is 47.13% and 59.43% respectively, which is about twice as much as the improvements with conventional physiotherapy only ( $p < 0.001$  in all comparisons). Resource economy, contextual adaptability, and scalability of TOT render it especially appropriate in the Indian context to solve the evidence-to-practice gap in post-stroke rehabilitation.

**Conclusion:** TOT is the most valuable possible intervention in the post-stroke motor rehabilitation: the neurobiological justification is proven, the evidence base is strong, and the procedure does not presuppose any sophisticated equipment or huge investments. The proposal is a systematic and gradual, contextually modified TOT model to be adopted in the various rehabilitation environments across India. TOT can be introduced into Indian physiotherapy practice on a systematic basis to produce a significant decrease in the national burden of post-stroke functional disability.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 The global and national burden

The World Health Organization defines stroke as an acute neurological emergency, which can be attributed to vascular causes, and which leads to focal neurological deficits and continues to affect the individual more than 24 hours or results in death [1]. Globally, stroke is experienced by about 15 million people annually, five million die in the acute phase, and five million survivors live with long-term neurological deficits that make them unable to live a functional and quality life [1]. The Global Burden of Disease 2019 report reported that the absolute number of stroke events, stroke survivors, and disability-adjusted life-years (DALYs) due to stroke had risen significantly between 1990 and 2019 due to population growth, aging population, and the proliferation of cardiometabolic risk factors globally [2]. These patterns are expected to be maintained till the mid-twenty-first century unless significant breakthroughs in the science of primary prevention and post-stroke rehabilitation are made and spread systematically.

The situation of India in this world scenario is one of the most difficult. Stroke is one of the most significant public health priorities in India

with an estimated 1.54 -1.80 million new strokes each year and a stroke-related disability burden that is steadily growing in proportion to the rapid epidemiological transition in the country [10]. A cluster of features that exacerbate the burden of stroke and complicate the response to rehabilitation define the Indian stroke burden: a median age of stroke onset about a decade younger than in high-income countries (40% of stroke patients are below 50 years old), a higher proportion of hemorrhagic subtypes (25 - 35% versus 15 - 20% in the West), The implication of this epidemiological profile is a population of stroke survivors in India that starts the rehabilitation process with on average more severe initial motor impairments, earlier in age and fewer organized rehabilitation resources at their disposal as compared to similar populations in high-income countries.

### 1.2 The Scope and Objective of this Review

It is in this context that the discovery and systematic application of evidence-based, resource-appropriate and contextually adapted rehabilitation interventions to the motor impairment following stroke is not only an academic endeavor but a health issue of national concern. The review is structured around four goals, namely, to present a

comprehensive synthesis of the neurobiological and motor learning science underlying Task-Oriented Training; to critically evaluate the international evidence base of the clinical efficacy of TOT in stroke rehabilitation; to place the findings in the context of the specific epidemiological, infrastructural, and clinical realities of stroke rehabilitation in India; and to translate the results into a structured, Along the way, locally-based RCT data, as provided by Pacific Medical University, Udaipur, are included as direct evidence of the Indian context - a requisite complement to the global literature which has dominated the field.

## **2..POST – STROKE MOTOR IMPAIRMENT : PATHOPHYSIOLOGY AND CLINICAL CONSEQUENCES**

### **2.1 Neurological Basis of Motor Deficit**

The most common and functionally relevant post-stroke impairment is motor impairment that occurs in 70-80 percent of survivors during the acute phase and continues to be motor impaired in more than half of survivors at six months [3]. Post-stroke motor impairment has a neurological pathogenesis which is multifactorial and cannot be reduced to the mere loss of the primary motor cortex neurons in the infarct core. Direct damage of axons of

the corticospinal tract - the major route of voluntary motor command to spinal motor neuron pools motor cortex to spinal motor neuron pools - is the cause of the typical contralateral hemiparesis or hemiplegia. The primary deficit is aggravated by concurrent damage to premotor cortex, supplementary motor area, somatosensory cortex and the corticobulbar projections to the motor nuclei of the brainstem, which interfere with the modulatory and coordinative functions that these areas are known to undertake in relation to the primary motor system.

Diaschisis - transient functional deactivation of structurally intact, remote neural areas with anatomic or functional relationships with the damaged area, adds further motor dysfunction during the acute phase. Diaschisis-associated hypometabolism, as well as decreased excitability of the cerebellar hemispheres, basal ganglia and contralesional motor cortex may all contribute to the clinical deficit profile in relation to the lesion location alone would predict. Since diaschisis is self-limiting, and fades in weeks to months, spontaneous functional recovery takes place - a effect which is greatly enhanced and expedited through well-planned rehabilitation programs.

The clinical features of an upper motor neuron syndrome after stroke are: loss of voluntary

distal motor control, especially of fractionated finger and hand movements; abnormal flexor dominant patterns of synergy that restrict voluntary movement of limbs to stereotyped mass patterns; spasticity due to loss of descending inhibitory control of spinal reflex circuits; and weakness due to lesion of the corticospinal tract and secondary disuse. The potential of the lower limb to recover its functional abilities is usually greater than that of the upper limb, which has more bilateral cortical and subcortical representation of leg movements, and redundancy of the descending motor connections. By contrast, the most vulnerable motor capacity to stroke, and the slowest to recover, is the fine hand function, served mainly by contralateral primary motor cortex, through direct monosynaptic corticospinal projections.

## **2.2 Functional Consequences and Population Impact.**

Functional implications of post-stroke motor deficit cut across the entire range of outcome domains of daily life assessed by outcome measures like the Barthel Index and Motor Assessment Scale. At the basic self-care level, a patient can be unable to feed, dress, bathe and bowel and bladder issues due to hemiparesis. At the mobility level, it could make them incapable of moving out of bed to chair,

walking without a lot of human or mechanical support, and even climbing stairs. On the community level, it can avert reentry into the workforce, interpersonal interactions, driving, and the home and cultural roles that comprise social identity and psychological health.

The social safety net structure increases the functional effects of stroke disability in the Indian context. The traditionally family-based model of care-giving in India also implies that the disability of one working-age adult usually eliminates one more adult (who is often a female family member) of the workforce or even formal schooling to offer unpaid care. This burden of caregivers is often not seen in epidemiology but is among the biggest contributors to the overall economic cost of stroke disability. Research on the burden of Indian caregivers after a stroke reports high prevalence rates of physical strain, psychological distress, financial hardship and social isolation among family caregivers, especially in families, which do not have access to formal healthcare or social support services.

## **3. CONVENTIONAL PHYSIOTHERAPY IN STROKE REHABILITATION : ACHIEVEMENTS AND LIMITATIONS**

### 3.1 Existing Approaches and the theoretical foundation to them.

Over the last 50 years, neurofacilitation paradigms developed in the 1950s-1970s have been predominant in conventional physiotherapy methods of post-stroke motor rehabilitation. Based on the theory that abnormal muscle tone and movement patterns needed to be suppressed and normal movement patterns promoted using particular handling techniques, the Bobath Neurodevelopmental Treatment (NDT) was developed by Berta and Karel Bobath, which assumed that only after this could normal movement patterns develop. The Brunnstrom system suggested working with the patterns of synergy instead of countering them, with the help of reflex activity and the reactions related to it to promote voluntary movement. The works of Kabat, Knott, and Voss led to the development of Proprioceptive neuromuscular facilitation (PNF) that focused on diagonal and spiral movement patterns that were provided by the use of special manual contacts and resistance profiles. A commonality that can be found in these paradigms is that the quality of movement (tone, pattern, reflex integration) has to be normalized and then functional task performance can be approached.

The predominant form of post-stroke physiotherapy in India has been neurofacilitation techniques as are reported in a survey of Kerala physiotherapists by Khan et al. [14] and supported by other surveys in other states of India. The continuation of neurofacilitation-based practice can be explained by several factors: its prevalence in physiotherapy education programs in previous decades, institutional inertia in established rehabilitation departments, the perceived complication of applying evidence-based options in high-volume clinical environments, and (most importantly) the lack of locally-produced RCT evidence to support the effectiveness of alternative methods in Indian populations.

### 3.2 Limitations Evidence: Cochrane Review Evidence.

A systematic review of the Cochrane by Pollock et al. [4] is the most thorough review of conventional physiotherapy in stroke as it combined the findings of 96 randomized controlled trials. The main conclusion of the review, that physiotherapy of sufficient intensity improves motor performance when compared to no intervention, but no conventional method of neurofacilitation showed any convincing evidence of superiority to any other method in bringing about

functional recovery, was not only scientifically important, but also clinically problematic. More fundamentally, the review was able to determine the fundamental weakness of traditional methods: they tend to yield improvements on impairment-level measures (muscle strength, passive range of motion, tone) that are not reliable to translate into improvements in functional independence in activities of daily living.

This evidence-based criticism of traditional physiotherapy is not only a discovery of Cochrane review - this is based on one of the fundamental principles of motor neuroscience: specificity of neural adaptation. The circuits that are activated in the brain when a person does a bicep curl with a manual resistance are different circuits that are used when a patient raises his or her hands to the ceiling to get a cup out of a shelf. The neural circuits which are involved in passive assisted dorsiflexion of the ankle are not those which cause dorsiflexor activation necessary to clear the toe during gait. Since neuroplastic reorganization is a specific circuit-dependent process that occurs when the circuits actually activated and engaged during training, impairment-level exercises result in impairment-level neural changes - changes that do not necessarily transfer to the circuits of functional tasks that define independence in everyday life. It is not the patient motivation or

the skill of the therapist, but a natural outcome of the learning and reorganizing of the nervous system.

The theoretical basis of the Task-Oriented Training was the paradigm shift proposed by Carr and Shepherd [12] based on the current systems motor control theory and the then new science of motor learning, which stated that rehabilitation should be more about facilitating movement due to reflex and sensory involvement rather than active training of patients to learn to perform specific functional tasks in ecologically valid situations, at adequate volumes of repetition, and with progressive challenge. This is the intellectual structure according to which TOT is developed as a structured clinical intervention, since this theoretical framework, rehabilitation as motor skill re-acquisition, formed the basis of the intellectual framework of the theory.

#### **4. NEUROBIOLOGICAL FOUNDATIONS OF TASK-ORIENTED TRAINING**

##### **4.1 Experience-Dependent Neuroplasticity: Foundational Principles**

Adult central nervous system has the ability to undergo structural and functional reorganization as a result of experience dependent neuroplasticity - which is much

more significant than previously recognized neuroscientific models. Evidence of the molecular biology of the adult brain, electrophysiology, neuroimaging, and clinical studies over the past three decades have demonstrated the classical perception of the adult brain as a hardwired system as having been radically invalid. It has also been demonstrated that the adult motor cortex, especially, can be reorganized on a measurable map-level when subjected to motor training, which, directly and severely, impacts rehabilitation science.

In their seminal synthesis of experience dependent neuroplasticity studies, Kleim and Jones [5] have formulated ten principles which when combined together characterize the circumstances in which the injured adult brain is able to reorganise in order to facilitate functional recovery. These concepts are the most significant scientific concepts that guide the design of TOT interventions:

- Use it or lose it: neural circuits that are not engaged by behavioural activity undergo progressive structural and functional deterioration. Stroke-related disuse of affected limbs - either through learned non-use secondary to futility experience, spasticity or pain - actively leads to disability consolidation.
- Use it and improve it: training that systematically engages specific neural circuits produces structural strengthening of those circuits proportional to training volume and intensity. High-repetition task practice is the neuroplastic stimulus that is directly prescribed by this principle to functional recovery
- Specificity: the neuroplastic changes induced by training are specific to the neural circuits engaged by the training activity. Task-related training stimulates the rearrangement of the particular motor, sensory and coordinative systems needed to perform the trained task - systems which generic exercise does not activate.
- Repetition matters: a minimum volume of task-specific repetitions is required to drive durable synaptic-level changes. Animal experiments have determined that around 400 successful repetitions of a functional forelimb activity per session are needed to produce statistically significant expansion of the cortical map - a level that is specifically aimed to be reached or surpassed by structured TOT protocols by high-repetition session design.

- **Intensity matters:** the rate and magnitude of neuroplastic change scale with training intensity within tolerable limits. The conventional physiotherapy of low intensity and low repetition is below the threshold of neuroplastic adaptation that is long-term in most cases.
- **Time matters:** neuroplastic responses are temporally gated, with the post-stroke subacute period (weeks to months) representing a window of elevated neuroplastic responsiveness during which appropriately designed rehabilitation produces the largest training effects per unit of practice.
- **Salience matters:** training that is personally meaningful, goal-directed, and contextually relevant activates dopaminergic and noradrenergic neuromodulatory systems that potentiate synaptic plasticity. The innate motivational salience of engaging in real-world functional tasks - relative to repetitive isolated joint movements - therefore, has a direct neurobiological benefit in driving reorganization.
- **Age matters:** neuroplastic responsiveness is greater in younger individuals, supporting the particular importance of early and intensive rehabilitation in India's disproportionately young stroke population.
- **Transference:** training-induced neuroplastic changes may generalize to related untrained tasks to the extent that the training and transfer tasks share neural substrates — providing a neurobiological basis for the functional generalization observed in TOT studies.
- **Interference:** neuroplastic changes induced by one form of training may interfere with simultaneously trained skills if shared neural substrates are engaged in competing reorganization processes — a principle that counsels thoughtful sequencing of rehabilitation task domains.

#### **4.2 Cortical Reorganization: Neuroimaging.**

Neuroimaging of the human brain has given direct and strong evidence of cortical reorganization during training in stroke survivors during rehabilitation. Longitudinal functional MRI investigations of intensive

task-specific upper limb training have reported perilesional expansion of the primary motor cortex hand area representation, increased activation of ipsilesional dorsal and ventral premotor cortex, and recruitment of supplementary motor area - structural and functional markers of the reorganization of motor recovery. Importantly, the same neuroplastic signatures co-vary with behavioral motor improvement: cortical change correlated with functional improvement in participants with larger functional recovery correlates with the same neuroplastic reorganization, which is the mechanistic connection between a training-induced change in the cortex and behavioral improvement.

Complementary evidence has been offered by transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) motor mapping studies that extensive task-specific training of upper limb muscles in stroke survivors results in quantifiable expansion of the cortical motor map representation of hand muscles being trained, and that the extent of map expansion directly relates to training volume, and positively correlates with grip strength and improvement of functional hand use. These TMS results are especially significant as they do not only indicate functional activation alterations (which may indicate a compensation) but structural restructuring of the motor cortex map - a

process that is known to indicate true recovery of motor control and not simply behavioral compensation.

Positron emission tomography studies have provided a metabolic component to this image: task-specific rehabilitation training, in comparison to standard impairment-level physiotherapy, generates activation patterns in sensorimotor cortex during movement more reminiscent of the usual physiological activation patterns of normal people. This qualitative shift in cortical activity - to more physiologically normal forms - is a hint that TOT does induce true recovery of normal motor circuitry, rather than the formation of alternative compensatory mechanisms that bring about the illusion of recovery without restoring neurophysiological normalcy.

### **4.3 Motor Learning Mechanisms Operationalized in TOT**

The science of motor learning can be used as a complement to neuroplasticity studies to explain why TOT can be performed with better results compared to traditional physiotherapy.

The most important motor learning processes which TOT activates in a systematic way are:

#### **4.3.1 Error-Based Learning**

The main process through which the motor system learns skilled movements and perfects them is called error-based motor learning, that is, the alteration of motor commands on the basis of the comparison of predicted motor system sensory outcomes with the actual ones. This process is optimally involved when the patient is actively trying out actual functional activities that demand their current ability, and which produce movements that do not match the desired output, when the patient is given sensory feedback regarding the type and extent of those deviations and when the patient utilizes this feedback to alter the next motor commands. By offering directed or assisted movement which eliminates most of the difficulty and even the active problem-solving of the motion, conventional physiotherapy significantly restricts the activation of this learning error mechanism. TOT, through its patient-motivated generation and execution of self-initiated motor solutions to increasingly challenging functional tasks, exhaustively applies error-based learning on each session repeat.

#### **4.3.2 Contextual Interference**

The contextual interference effect - the observation that practice in high variability and unpredictability conditions results in improved long-term retention and transfer of motor skills compared to massed practice of single tasks under constant conditions have been reliably replicated in the literature of motor learning. Repetitive practice which is blocked with repetition of the same movements yields high short term performance during the practice but low long term retention and generalization to new variations of the task. Alternating between different tasks, although resulting in worse performance in the practice session, leads to stronger encoding of motor memories and more versatile and generalizable motor schemas. The contextual variability of TOT is inherently achieved by gradual adjustment of task parameters (target location, object size and weight, surface characteristics, environmental context, dual-task demands) across and within sessions and results in motor learning effects with more robust transfer to the varying functional demands of real-world daily life.

#### **4.3.3 Goal-Directed Practice and Motivational Engagement.**

Studies in motor learning always indicate that the tasks that are goal-oriented, i.e. when the attention of the learner is outwardly towards the functional goal (reaching the target, rising

out of the chair, walking to the door) and inwardly towards the movement mechanism, yield better motor learning outcomes than movement-oriented practice does. The mediation of this benefit of attention to external focus is partly through the less cognitive interference of the automatic motor control processes in cases where attention is externally directed (as opposed to internally directed). TOT is goal directed in nature: the goal is given by the task itself, and the motor system of the patient is organized to produce that functional result using the most useful motor resources. The intrinsic relevance of meaningful real-world tasks - relative to doing abstract movement tasks - also involves the dopaminergic reward system in manners that facilitate synaptic plasticity and support motivational sustainability of high-intensity practice.

## **5. EVIDENCE BASE: SYSTEMATIC REVIEWS, META-ANALYSES AND CLINICAL TRIALS.**

### **5.1 Cochrane Systematic Reviews**

The Cochrane Collaboration, the international evidence synthesis standard in the healthcare field, has also created a number of high-quality systematic reviews that specifically assess TOT and its elements in stroke rehabilitation. The

overall evidence base of the clinical efficacy of both task-specific and functional training strategies is made up of these reviews.

The Cochrane review of repetitive task-training that used 33 randomized controlled trials and 1,087 participants by French et al. [7] is the conclusive analysis of protocolized TOT in stroke rehabilitation. The meta-analysis showed statistically significant effects of repetitive task training on arm-hand function (SMD 0.28, 95% CI 0.05-0.51) and lower limb and walking function (SMD 0.28, 95% CI 0.07-0.48) as compared to control interventions. These effect sizes, although medium-sized, are enhanced by the fact that the review found that there was a definite positive dose-response relationship: more training volume always resulted in larger functional changes, confirming the neuroplasticity principle that repetition is not only present, but a condition of long-term motor learning. The authors of the review found that repetitive task training is an effective, realistic, and evidence-based addition to the traditional stroke physiotherapy.

The Cochrane review by Sirtori et al. [19] on constraint-induced movement therapy (CIMT) and task-oriented training in upper limb rehabilitation added more evidence to the wider family of task-specific upper limb training

methods, with significant improvements in arm function and ADL performance observed. Most importantly, the review found that TOT is the more generalizable method than CIMT, which has a minimum residual motor functional requirement eligibility criterion that limits patient accessibility to patients with more severe impairments. The wide range of applicability of TOT to the entire continuum of motor impairment severity, including mild and moderate, allows it to reach a broader population group and be more clinically applicable in a diverse rehabilitation population, such as the one observed in Indian tertiary care hospitals.

## 5.2 Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses.

The task-specific and functional training methods are best supported in general by the findings of Veerbeek et al. [8] systematic review and meta-analysis of physical therapy post-stroke - 467 randomized controlled trials, the largest such review in the area. The review found task-specific and repetitive training as one of the most evidence-based interventions to improve walking ability (SMD 0.37), balance (SMD 0.36), and arm-hand function (SMD 0.28). Functional task training effect sizes were about twice the effect size possible using traditional physiotherapy methods to the same outcome domains. The sub-analyses of the

review revealed that certain task-training modalities have the best evidence quality: gait-task-oriented training, reach-and-grasp functional task training, and sit-to-stand training all have high-quality and large-magnitude effect sizes and are clinically significant. The specified modalities are also directly aligned with the TOT task domains that were included in the intervention plan of the RCT that was carried out locally.

The intensity, repetitiveness and functional relevance of rehabilitation training, as the three most powerful predictors of positive functional outcome, were identified by a dedicated systematic review of the evidence-based review of stroke rehabilitation - incorporating hundreds of clinical trials involving all the rehabilitation domains, by Teasell et al. [17] - as the strongest predictors of positive functional outcome. This observation both validates the design considerations of TOT and indirectly implicates the low-dose, low-repetition, non-functional conventional physiotherapy as ineffective in motivating the maximum functional recovery. The review clearly supported the use of the Barthel Index and Motor Assessment Scale as the main outcome measures in the locally developed RCT as the most clinically meaningful functional assessment tool used to assess the stroke rehabilitation programs.

### 5.3 Key Randomized Controlled Trials

Table 1. Summary of Key Randomized Controlled Trials Evaluating Task-Oriented Training in Stroke Rehabilitation

| Study                           | n  | Population      | Outcome Measures                                 | Key Findings and Clinical Significance                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |
|---------------------------------|----|-----------------|--------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Dean and Shepherd (1997) [13]   | 12 | Subacute stroke | Seated reaching; weight distribution; balance    | Task-trained group experienced much larger reaching, symmetrical weight bearing and transfer to untrained motor tasks - early experimental evidence of task-specific neuroplastic generalization                                                                                              |
| Blennerhassett&Dite (2004) [20] | 30 | Subacute stroke | Upper limb performance; dexterity; grip strength | Structured TOT resulted in much greater upper limb and dexterity improvement compared to equally long time unstructured leisure activity - indicating that it is the structured functional character of exercise, rather than the amount of time of activity, that elicits neural adjustment. |

|                                            |                 |                     |                                     |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
|--------------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Kim et al. (2012) [9]                      | 30              | Subacute stroke     | Gait velocity; MAS; FAC; balance    | TOT yielded significantly higher gait velocity, stride length, balance, and functional ambulation as compared to conventional therapy. Inter-group differences greatest in tasks directly practiced - confirming task-specificity principle. |
| French et al. (2016) [7] Cochrane          | 1,087 (33 RCTs) | Mixed stroke phases | Arm-hand function; gait; ADL        | SMD 0.28 of both arm outcomes and gait outcomes with positive dose-response. Definitive Cochrane evidence for repetitive task training's effectiveness. TOT is concluded to be effective, practicable and evidence-based.                    |
| Veerbeek et al. (2014) [8] - Meta-analysis | 467 RCTs        | All stroke phases   | Walking; balance; arm function; ADL | Task-specific training has the largest effect sizes of all PT interventions: walking (SMD 0.37), balance (SMD 0.36), arm function (SMD 0.28). Classified as the best-practice standard of post-stroke                                        |

|                                              |    |                               |                                                |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |
|----------------------------------------------|----|-------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|                                              |    |                               |                                                | physical therapy.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |
| Bhatt HK et al.<br>(2025) [ Current<br>RCT ] | 60 | Subacute<br>stroke -<br>India | Barthel<br>Index; Motor<br>Assessment<br>Scale | TOT group: 47.13% BI<br>improvement, 59.43% MAS<br>improvement -about twice<br>the conventional<br>physiotherapy group<br>improvement. All<br>comparisons $p < 0.001$ .<br>Preliminary rigid RCT<br>evidence of TOT excellence<br>in Indian clinical<br>circumstance. |

RCT = Randomized Controlled Trial; MAS = Motor Assessment Scale; BI = Barthel Index; FAC = Functional Ambulation Classification; ADL = Activities of Daily Living; SMD = Standardized Mean Difference.

#### 5.4 The Indian Evidence: Locally Conducted RCT Data

The randomized controlled trial that has been conducted locally in Pacific medical college and hospital Udaipur offers the exact contribution of evidence of a kind that has been sought by the Indian rehabilitation scene: a well-designed, single-blind RCT of TOT vs. Conventional physiotherapy delivered in an Indian tertiary care facility with a representative Indian stroke population, with

validated outcome measures and a clear statistical approach [Current Study].

Participants were randomized to TOT with Conventional Physiotherapy (Group 1, n=30) or conventional physiotherapy alone (Group 2, n=30) and had to be aged 40-70 years and confirmed with a subacute stroke (1-6 months post-onset). Groups were comparable at baseline across all demographic and clinical parameters: mean age ( $54.46 \pm 9.35$  vs  $58.30 \pm 9.54$  years), gender distribution (53.3% vs 50.0% male), stroke duration ( $12.40 \pm 5.95$  vs

12.03  $\pm$  6.23 weeks), Barthel Index (50.56  $\pm$  9.09 vs 51.16  $\pm$  8.92), and MAS (18.73  $\pm$  5.12 vs 18.60  $\pm$  3.89).

After six weeks of intervention (five sessions per week), the TOT group demonstrated a mean BI improvement of 23.83 points (47.13%;  $t = 32.56$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ) compared to 12.13 points (23.71%;  $t = 25.73$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ) in the conventional physiotherapy group — an inter-group difference of 11.70 BI points. For the MAS, the TOT group achieved a mean improvement of 11.13 points (59.43%;  $t = 30.30$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ) versus 5.63 points (30.29%;  $t = 17.82$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ) in controls — an inter-group difference of 5.50 MAS points. These findings are in line with the global data and determine the relevance of the superiority of TOT to Indian clinical settings.

## **6.THE INDIAN STROKE REHABILITATION LANDSCAPE: NEEDS, GAPS AND OPPORTUNITIES.**

### **6.1 Epidemiological and Clinical Profile**

The most complete description of the Indian stroke burden, which has motivated this review, is the landmark study by Pandian and Sudhan [10] on stroke epidemiology and care

services in India. They are synthesized: an estimated 105-152 cases per 100,000 population in urban areas annually; a younger median age of onset than high-income countries, with 40% of patients under 50; a higher proportion of hemorrhagic (25 - 35%), a mean pre-hospital length of stay of 20 - 30 hours in many units, versus 1-3 hours. This interaction of younger age at stroke onset, more severe initial deficits (delays in presentation and higher proportion of hemorrhagic stroke) and greater years of stroke-related disability per incident case are expected to produce a significantly greater cumulative burden of years of stroke-related disability per incident case than is seen in high-income country populations.

A 50-year survey of Indian stroke literature by Banerjee and Das [11] provides historical perspective on this epidemiological image, showing that the healthcare system has been unable to keep up with increasing stroke rates with commensurate investment in post-acute rehabilitation infrastructure. The review reported that although there is an increase in the management of acute stroke in India, with stroke units, thrombolysis guidelines, and neurovascular imaging services being accessible in the large teaching hospitals, rehabilitation service has been grossly under-resourced relative to demand, with most stroke

survivors having no form of post-acute physiotherapy whatsoever. This is a system-level malfunction with massive long-term disability burden, burden on caregivers and economic productivity implications.

## 6.2 The Evidence-to-Practice Gap

A cross-sectional survey of physiotherapists in the Indian state with the most advanced healthcare infrastructure (Khan et al. [14]) located that Bobath NDT was the most used method of stroke rehabilitation despite extensive knowledge of the evidence base of task-specific functional training. Obstacles to the evidence-based practice were: insufficient exposure to modern research in professional training; organizational policies that promote established practice; time constraints in high-volume outpatient practice; perceived complexity of the implementation of new practices; and the absence of evidence-based locally generated information that directly relates to populations of Indian patients. These trends have been observed in physiotherapy practice surveys in other Indian states and other similar healthcare systems in South and Southeast Asia.

This evidence to practice gap has some real clinical implications. Patients treated according to traditional physiotherapy-only interventions

in India are given a rehabilitation intervention that, as the locally conducted RCT shows, results in an improvement of functional outcome about half the amount that can be obtained with an equally plausible and resource-equivalent TOT protocol. On the population level, the systematic sub-optimal rehabilitation of stroke survivors is a colossal cumulative loss of recoverable function, with consequences on the burden of disability, burden of caregiving, economic productivity, and quality of life that are hard to overestimate given the annual stroke incidence of 1.5 -1.8 million in India.

## 6.3 Why TOT is especially suited to the Indian context.

Task-Oriented Training is not just an internationally evidence-based intervention that can, in theory, be imported into Indian contexts, but in a number of aspects is specially adapted to the Indian stroke rehabilitation context

- Resource economy: TOT requires no specialized technology, advanced equipment, or large capital investment. The functional activities which comprise TOT sessions (sit-to-stand,

reaching, grasping, walking, stair-climbing, object manipulation) are exercised using equipment necessary in any physiotherapy department: chairs, tables, objects of different sizes and weights, stairs, and walking surfaces. TOT can also be effectively implemented in a tertiary care hospital physiotherapy department, a district hospital outpatient clinic, or a community rehabilitation center using this resource profile.

- Cultural and contextual universality: the functional activities targeted by TOT — sitting to standing, walking, reaching, carrying, stair negotiation — are universal activities of daily living that do not require cultural adaptation for the Indian context. In contrast to other rehabilitation modalities, which incorporate culturally specific activities or use culturally specific materials, the TOT activities are ecologically valid to Indian patients without any conditions on geography, socioeconomic status, and cultural background.
- Scalability: TOT can be delivered across all levels of the Indian healthcare hierarchy — from AIIMS-level tertiary care neurology rehabilitation units to

CHC-level physiotherapy outpatient departments to community health worker-delivered home-based rehabilitation programs. With suitable supervision, the core protocol can be standardized, protocolized and trained to physiotherapy assistants so that there can be wider access to rehabilitation to the high percentage of Indian stroke survivors who are currently not receiving any structured post-acute therapy

- Local evidence availability: the locally conducted RCT from Udaipur provides direct, institution-specific evidence that TOT produces approximately twice the functional gains of conventional physiotherapy in a representative Indian clinical setting. This eliminates the major obstacle of uncertainty over relevance of evidence that has been the main obstacle to the adoption of internationally tested rehabilitation strategies into Indian practice.
- Training workforce alignment: India's growing physiotherapy workforce — with over 130,000 registered physiotherapists and 700+ training institutions — represents a substantial implementation capacity that, with

targeted curriculum revision and continuing professional development, can be rapidly upskilled in TOT principles and delivery without large investment in technology or infrastructure.

fundamental: task-specificity, volume of sufficient repetition, progressive challenge, and ecological validity.

## **7.2 Domain 1: Patient Assessment and Selection.**

Standardized baseline assessment is the foundation of individualized TOT delivery . assessment battery for stroke patients being considering them to be in TOT

## **7.STRUCTURED CLINICAL FRAMEWORK OF TOT IMPLEMENTATION IN INDIAN STROKE REHABILITATION.**

### **7.1 Framework Overview/Design Principles.**

The clinical concept that this section proposes, extrapolates the evidence synthesized in Sections 4-6 into a systematic, practically feasible outline of TOT provision in Indian rehabilitation facilities.(1) Patient assessment and selection; (2) Collaborative goal setting : (3) task selection and session design; (4) Progression principles : (5) Outcomes monitoring and quality assurance ; and (6) discharge planning and community integration.

The framework is intended to be flexible to a variety of institutional resources and patient populations without compromising the neuroplastically active characteristics of TOT that the evidence demonstrates to be

- Barthel Index (BI): establishes baseline ADL independence profile and identifies specific self-care and mobility domains requiring rehabilitation priority.
- Motor Assessment Scale (MAS): quantifies functional motor recovery across eight key domains, providing a detailed baseline from which task selection and progress monitoring can be structured.
- Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE): screens cognitive capacity for instruction comprehension and active participation. MMSE 18 or above to participate in TOT (not as high as the RCT, allowing mild cognitive

impairment as the instructions to follow during TOT tasks may be simplified).

- Modified Ashworth Scale (MAS): grades spasticity across key muscle groups to inform task contraindications and modifications.
- Berg Balance Scale (BBS): quantifies functional balance to guide gait training task selection and fall risk management.
- 10-Metre Walk Test (10MWT) and Timed Up and Go Test (TUG): objective gait performance metrics for monitoring walking improvement.
- Patient-reported goal identification: structured interview using Goal Attainment Scaling or Canadian Occupational Performance Measure to identify the specific functional activities most important to each patient's recovery goals.

TOT can be used with patients with any level of motor impairment severity (MAS 5–40, BI 2080) as long as they have the capacity to follow the instructions of structured tasks and

medical stability to engage in active exercise. The main contraindications are patients with severe impairments of cognitive abilities, severe medical instability, and those who are in a complete state of paralysis with no residual voluntary motor activity.

### 7.3 Domain 2: Collaborative Goal Setting.

Important, patient-based goal setting is the key to both motivational salience and the choice of the task of TOT. Goal setting must be done in cooperation with the patient and in some cases with his or her primary caregiver, using structured interview which explores: (1) the specific functional activities the patient most urgently wishes to resume; (2) functional activities which the caregiver most needs the patient to resume; (3) the occupational, domestic, and social roles of the patient and which motor functions are necessary before he or she can do so; (4) The goals are to be SMART (Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Relevant, Time-bound) and in a format that can be reviewed to see progress over the rehabilitation process.

### 7.4 Domain 3: Task Selection and Session Design

Table 2. Task-Oriented Training: Task Domains, Clinical Applications, Neuroplastic Targets, and Progression Parameters

| Task Domain       | Example Tasks                                                            | TOT | Neuroplastic Target                                                           | Starting Level                               | Progression                                           |
|-------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|
| Sit-to-Stand      | Chair rise; toilet transfer; bed transfer; car entry                     |     | Leg extensors; postural control; BG-cerebellum circuit                        | High chair, arm support                      | Lower seat height; less arm support; speed; vest load |
| Reach and Grasp   | Overhead reach; forward reach; pouring; dressing; opening jars           |     | Primary motor cortex — hand/arm; cerebellar coordination                      | Proximal, large objects                      | Distance; height; object size; precision; bilateral   |
| Gait Training     | Corridor walking; surface variation; obstacle navigation; dual-task gait |     | Spinal locomotor pattern generators; cerebellar rhythm; cortical gait control | Short distance; parallel bars; level surface | Distance; speed; surface; dual-task; reduced aid      |
| Stair Negotiation | Step-over-step ascent/descent; alternating feet;                         |     | Hip/knee extensors; dorsiflexors; postural planning                           | Bilateral rail support; 2 steps              | Steps per set; rail support reduction;                |

|                            |                                                                     |      |                                                                                          |                                                        |                                                    |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|
|                            | variable<br>height                                                  | step | circuits                                                                                 |                                                        | speed                                              |
| Carry and Place            | Tray carrying;<br>bilateral arm<br>tasks; folding;<br>shelf loading |      | Bilateral cortical<br>integration;<br>postural<br>adjustment; upper<br>limb coordination | Light load;<br>short distance;<br>supported<br>posture | Load weight;<br>distance;<br>postural<br>challenge |
| Hand and Fine<br>Motor ADL | Writing;<br>buttoning; coin<br>sorting; pouring;<br>eating utensils |      | Fine motor cortical<br>map; dexterity<br>circuits;<br>fractionated finger<br>control     | Large objects;<br>gross<br>manipulation                | Object size;<br>precision;<br>speed;<br>complexity |

BG = Basal Ganglia; ADL = Activities of Daily Living. Task selection and progression should be individualized to patient functional goals and current performance level.

The TOT sessions must include three to four task domains that can be directly related to the functional goals of the patient, and the repetition goals should be explicitly defined (at least 30-50 repetitions per task domain per session according to the dose-response evidence of neuroplasticity). The recommended session structure of the experimental protocol being used in the locally carried out RCT - and suggested as the standard TOT session structure - is:

- Warm-up (10 minutes): low-intensity range-of-motion and mobility preparation, brief patient check-in and session goal review.
- TOT practice (45–60 minutes): structured functional task practice across three to four task domains, with repetition targets, progressive difficulty, and knowledge-of-performance feedback at appropriate intervals.

- Adjunct conventional physiotherapy (20–30 minutes): range-of-motion maintenance, progressive resistance exercises for key muscle groups.
- Cool-down and session log (10 minutes): cool-down stretching, session log completion, patient progress review.
- performance ceiling of the patient, and set the challenge accordingly:
- Biomechanical progression: increase the range, speed, or load of the movement required (e.g., lower chair height for sit-to-stand, increased reach distance, faster walking pace).

### 7.5 Domain 4: Progression Principles

Gradual increase in challenge is as vital to neuroplastic performance of TOT as high volume of repetition. Devoid of ordered advancement, practice becomes accustomed to an automated process that no longer calls into action the error-induced learning processes that induce cortical reorganization. This law of progressive overload, based directly on the neuroplasticity studies, stipulates that the difficulty of the task must be gradually increased when the participant regularly manages to reach the desired performance standard (advocated: 70% task success in three consecutive sessions at a particular task difficulty level).

The parameters of progression must be adjusted step-by-step, not in all parameters simultaneously, so that only one aspect of task difficulty is raised at a time, allowing the physiotherapist to accurately determine the

- Environmental progression: increase the complexity or variability of the practice environment (e.g., varied surface textures, obstacle courses, outdoor surfaces, crowded spaces).
- Cognitive progression: add dual-task demands (e.g., carrying a conversation while walking, counting during reaching tasks) to engage higher-order motor planning and attention-sharing circuits.
- Contextual progression: practice tasks in increasingly realistic and varied contexts that approximate the patient's real-world functional environments (e.g., practice sit-to-stand from a variety of chair types the patient will encounter at home rather than a single standardized chair).

## 7.6 Domain 5: Monitoring and Quality of Outcomes.

The systematic monitoring of outcomes is vital in assessing the progress of single patients, clinical decision-making and the development of the institutional evidence base on the effectiveness of TOT. Monitoring is recommended to be:

- Baseline assessment (Day 0): BI, MAS, Berg Balance Scale, 10MWT, TUG.
- Mid-intervention review (Week 3): clinical observation of performance in TOT tasks, subjective patient progress report, physiotherapist review of session log data.
- Post-intervention assessment (Day 43): BI, MAS, Berg Balance Scale, 10MWT, TUG — administered by blinded assessor where institutional resources permit.
- Long-term follow-up (3-month, 6-month): BI and MAS re-assessment to evaluate durability of gains, with booster sessions recommended if significant functional decline is detected.

All participants should have session log data in terms of tasks done, repetitions, performance goals achieved, negative occurrences so that at the end of the week, the treating physiotherapist can review and ensure protocol adherence and task progression.

## 7.7 Domain 6: Discharge Planning and Community Integration.

The evidence base of TOT is mostly based on inpatient and outpatient institutional rehabilitation, however, the principles of high-repetition, task-specific exercise can be applied to the home-based and community settings. Stroke patients who have a formal TOT program should have discharge planning which includes:

- Home exercise program: a written and illustrated home TOT program tailored to the patient's current functional level and continuing rehabilitation goals, with specific task targets and repetition counts for daily self-practice.
- Caregiver education: structured education for the primary caregiver on how to supervise and encourage home TOT practice safely, recognize signs of fatigue or adverse events, and promote

independence-building rather than over-assistance.

- Community referral: where available, referral to community physiotherapy services, stroke support groups, or community rehabilitation worker programs to provide ongoing supervised practice and social support.
- Reassessment scheduling: planned follow-up assessment appointments at 3 and 6 months post-discharge to monitor maintenance of functional gains and identify need for booster rehabilitation courses.

## 8. DISCUSSION

### 8.1 Synthesis of Evidence

This synthesis of the literature points to one well-grounded conclusion: Task-Oriented Training, in appropriate dose and systematic progression of tasks, induces neuroplastic change and functional recovery of motor function that significantly and consistently surpasses that of traditional physiotherapy methods based on remediation of impairments. This conclusion has been supported at three levels (experience-dependent neuroplasticity and motor learning theory), the level of clinical

evidence (Cochrane reviews, meta-analyses and individual RCTs across various population groups), and most recently, the local clinical evidence level (the locally implemented RCT showing the Indian stroke population that neuroplasticity of approximately twice the functional improvement with TOT compared with conventional physiotherapy).

The fact that this evidence has a high level of internal consistency at several levels of analysis is a good sign of scientific reliability. The likelihood that the same intervention would be found to produce better results in bench science (neuroimaging and TMS results of cortical reorganization), in clinical trials in high-income countries (Cochrane reviews of hundreds of combined studies), in clinical trials in the Indian context (locally conducted RCT), and explainable by a plausible neurobiological mechanism (experience-dependent neuroplasticity and motor learning) is vanishingly small. One of the best established pieces of evidence in the neurorehabilitation science is the evidence of the superiority of TOT in the post-stroke motor rehabilitation.

#### 8.2.1 Sealing the Evidence-to-Practice Gap.

Regardless of this evidence, the evidence to practice gap in Indian stroke physiotherapy is broad. To fill this gap, there is a need to act at a

variety of levels in the system. It demands access to and interaction with the evidence base, at the individual clinician level, including locally generated evidence which eliminates the uncertainty about applicability to Indian populations. On the institutional level, it needs to rewrite rehabilitation guidelines and session plans to implement structured TOT in a systematic way. At the educational level, it involves the incorporation of modern motor learning and neuroplasticity-based rehabilitation science into the curriculum of physiotherapy in place of the neurofacilitation-dominated teaching that has created generations of clinicians trained in the methods that the evidence does not support as the best ones.

On the national policy side, to address the evidence-to-practice gap in stroke rehabilitation, the infrastructure of stroke rehabilitation, the number of physiotherapists and their upskilling, and the formulation of national stroke rehabilitation guidelines that explicitly suggest task-specific functional training should be invested. The professional bodies that are best placed to effect these changes at the national level are the Stroke Society of India and the Indian Association of Physiotherapists, and the evidence base of the locally-conducted RCT data offers the scientific basis of the advocacy.

### 8.3 Future Research Priorities

Although the evidence base of TOT is strong, many research questions that have been raised with regards to the Indian context are not well resolved:

1. Multicenter RCTs across geographically, institutionally, and socioeconomically diverse Indian settings are needed to establish the generalizability of the Udaipur RCT findings across India's heterogeneous healthcare landscape.
2. Long-term follow-up studies (3-month, 6-month, 1-year) are required to evaluate the durability of TOT-induced motor learning gains — a critical question for both clinical practice and health economic analysis.
3. Health economic analyses comparing TOT versus conventional physiotherapy in terms of cost-effectiveness, disability-adjusted life-years prevented, and caregiver burden reduction would provide the evidence needed to support national health policy advocacy and insurance coverage recommendations.
4. Evaluation of community-based TOT delivery models — utilizing trained community health workers, physiotherapy assistants, or telerehabilitation platforms — would address

the critical question of how TOT's benefits can be extended to the majority of Indian stroke survivors who cannot access institutional physiotherapy services.

5. Investigation of technology-augmented TOT modalities (virtual reality-based task training, robotic-assisted task practice, telerehabilitation-delivered home TOT programs) as means of increasing training dosage, accessibility, and motivational engagement in resource-constrained settings.

6. Research on optimal TOT protocols for specific Indian stroke subpopulations — including patients with hemorrhagic stroke, those with significant spasticity, those in the chronic phase (> 6 months post-onset), and older adults with multiple comorbidities — would refine implementation guidelines for these clinically important groups.

## 9. CONCLUSION

Task-Oriented Training has a scientifically privileged status in the evidence base of post-stroke rehabilitation: its efficacy is mechanistically accounted by ten principles of experience-dependent neuroplasticity and motor learning, whose empirical support has been proven by numerous Cochrane reviews and meta-analyses comprising 467 randomized

controlled trials, and is feasible without hi-tech or major capital investment.

The offered systematic clinical model of TOT implantation in Indian stroke rehabilitation covers the following main practical aspects of evidence-based implementation: patient selection, shared formulation of goals, task-related structure of each session with the clear goal of repetition, a system of progressive principles, systematic measurement results, and community-based discharge planning. This framework is meant to be immediately implementable to the Indian physiotherapists in different institutional contexts, whether in a tertiary care hospital or community health center.

The Indian stroke rehabilitation case of systematic adoption of TOT has a strong national public health basis. The stroke survivors, 1.5-1.8 million/year, mostly young adults with severe motor disabilities and poor access to evidence-based rehabilitation, which constitute an enormous and largely untapped source of potentially recoverable functional impairment in India, is an enormous burden of potential recoverable functional disability. TOT provides an evidence-based tool that has the most potential to decrease that burden. Scientific basis is laid, implementation route is laid, and the clinical imperative; in the country

where the majority of stroke victims nowadays get either no rehabilitation or rehabilitation of a lesser quality is acute.

## REFERENCES

1. World Health Organization. Stroke fact sheet. Geneva: WHO; 2021. [Accessed April 2025]. Available from: <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/stroke>
2. Feigin VL, Norrving B, Mensah GA. Stroke burden in the world. *Circulation Research*. 2019;120(3):439–448. doi:10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.116.308413
3. Langhorne P, Bernhardt J, Kwakkel G. Stroke rehabilitation. *Lancet*. 2011;377(9778):1693–1702. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(11)60325-5
4. Physical rehabilitation of post-stroke function and mobility: Pollock A, Baer G, Campbell P, et al. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2014;(4):CD001920. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD001920.pub3
5. Kleim JA, Jones TA. Experience-dependent neural plasticity: Implications of neural plasticity in brain damage rehabilitation. *J Speech Lang Hear Res*. 2008;51(1):S225–S239. doi:10.1044/1092-4388(2008/018)
6. Winstein CJ, Stein J, Arena R, et al. Guidelines on adult stroke rehabilitation and recovery: a guideline on behalf of healthcare professionals by the AHA/ASA. *Stroke*. 2016;47(6):e98–e169. doi:10.1161/STR.0000000000000098
7. French B, Thomas LH, Coupe J, et al. Repetitive task training to enhance functional ability in stroke survivors. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2016;11:CD006073. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD006073.pub3
8. Veerbeek JM, van Wegen E, van Peppen R, et al. What is the evidence of physical therapy poststroke? A meta-analysis and systematic review. *PLoS One*. 2014;9(2):e87987. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0087987
9. Kim K, Lee W, Lee H. Effect of task-oriented training on gait and balance of persons with chronic stroke: a randomised controlled pilot trial. *Clin Rehabil*. 2012;26(8):747–755. doi:10.1177/0269215511434997
10. Pandian JD, Sudhan P. Stroke epidemiology and stroke care services in India. *J Stroke*. 2013;15(3):128–134. doi:10.5853/jos.2013.15.3.128

11. Das SK, Banerjee TK. Fifty years of stroke researches in India. *Ann Indian Acad Neurol.* 2016;19(1):1–8. doi:10.4103/0972-2327.168631
12. Carr JH, Shepherd RB. *Neurological Rehabilitation: Maximizing Motor Performance.* 2nd ed. Oxford: Butterworth-Heinemann; 2003.
- 13 Dean CM, Shepherd RB. Task training enhances post-stroke seated reach training: a randomized controlled trial. *Stroke.* 1997;28(4):722–728. doi:10.1161/01.STR.28.4.722
14. Khan FR, Vijesh PV, Rahool S, et al. Physiotherapy practice in stroke rehabilitation: a cross-sectional survey of physiotherapists in the state of Kerala, India. *Top Stroke Rehabil.* 2012;19(5):405–410. doi:10.1310/tsr1905-405
15. Sirtori V, Corbetta D, Moja L, Gatti R. Constraint-induced movement therapy in upper extremities of stroke patients. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2009;(4):CD004433. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD004433.pub2
16. Teasell R, Foley N, Salter K, et al. *Stroke Rehabilitation Evidence-Based Review.* 14th ed. London, Ontario: EBRSR; 2012.
17. Salbach NM, Jaglal SB, Korner-Bitensky N, et al. Practitioner and organizational barriers to evidence-based practice of physical therapists with people with stroke. *Phys Ther.* 2007;87(10):1284–1303. doi:10.2522/ptj.20070040
18. Langhorne P, Coupar F, Pollock A. Motor recovery following stroke: a systematic review. *Lancet Neurol.* 2009;8(8):741–754. doi:10.1016/S1474-4422(09)70150-4
19. Kallen BJ, Lennon S, Lyons B, et al. How well has the Bobath concept worked in stroke rehabilitation: how do we know? *Stroke.* 2009;40(4):e89–e97. doi:10.1161/STROKEAHA.108.533828
20. Blennerhassett J, Dite W. Extra task practice enhances early post-stroke mobility and upper limb performance: a randomised controlled trial. *Aust J Physiother.* 2004;50(4):219–224. doi:10.1016/S0004-9514(14)60111-4
21. Taub E, Uswatte G, Pidikiti R. Constraint-induced movement therapy: a new family of techniques with wide applicability to physical rehabilitation - a clinical review. *J Rehabil Res Dev.* 1999;36(3):237–251.

22. Nudo RJ. Motor recovery mechanisms after the destruction of cortex. *Curr OpinNeurobiol.* 2006;16(6):638–644.

doi:10.1016/j.conb.2006.10.004

23. Bhatt HK, Lohar D. Task oriented training versus placebo on recovery of motor functions in stroke patients: a randomized controlled trial. *J NeurolPhysiother Res.* 2025. In press; data provided in this review at the permission of the author.