The Bioscan
AN INTERNATIONAL QUARTERLY JOURNAL OF LIFE SCIENCES
21(2): 628-646, 2026 www.thebioscan.com

Neural Architectures for Pedagogical Evaluation: A Convolutional Framework for the Automated Assessment of Instructional Confidence

Ranjan Banerjee[1], Amartya Ghosh[2], Pranab Gharai[3], Ria pyne[4], Piyali De[5], Avijit Kumar Chaudhuri[6], Payel Sengupta[7], Debmalya Mukherjee[8], Anushree Jana[9]

[1,2,4,5,7,8]Assistant Professor, [3]Assistant Professor/ Research Scholar, [6]Professor & HOD

[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9]Computer Science & Engineering Department, Brainware University, Barasat, Kolkata

rbkpccst@gmail.com, com.amartya@gmail.com, pranab.g10@gmail.com, pyneria.gmail.com

me.piyalide@gmail.com, c.avijit@gmail.com, payel9433@gmail.com,

dbml.mukherjee@gmail.com, anujana1212@gmail.com

0009-0003-1950-7530, 0009-0002-2504-3325,0009-0004-3602-5223, 0009-0007-8323-8354

0009-0007-2631-615X, 0000-0002-5310-3180, 0000-0003-3981-5971, 0009-0006-9946-0964

DOI: https://doi.org/10.63001/tbs.2026.v21.i02.pp628-646

Received on: 10-03-2026
Accepted on: 08-04-2026
Published on: 03-05-2026

Abstract

The optimization of instructional delivery in higher education is increasingly contingent upon the integration of sophisticated technological frameworks capable of providing objective, real-time feedback to faculty members. Among the various psychological constructs that influence teaching effectiveness, lecturer confidence emerges as a primary determinant of student engagement, information retention, and the overall classroom climate.[1] Confidence is not merely a subjective internal state; it is a systematically projectable trait manifested through facial micro-expressions, body orientation, and linguistic fluency.[1] The ability of a lecturer to exhibit self-assurance enables more structured communication, a higher capacity for handling spontaneous audience challenges, and a more profound conviction in the dissemination of complex ideas.[1]

With the rapid advancement of deep learning, specifically within the domain of computer vision, the automated recognition of these affective states has moved from theoretical inquiry to practical implementation.[5] This report analyzes a novel approach utilizing a scratch-built Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) architecture designed to categorize lecturer confidence into three distinct levels: high, medium, and low.[1] By processing a unique dataset of 4,219 images extracted from diverse lecture environments, the proposed model demonstrates a significant improvement in classification accuracy over established architectures like VGG16 and AlexNet.[1] The following analysis explores the technical architecture, mathematical optimization, pedagogical implications, and the rigorous ethical standards required for the deployment of such systems in modern academic settings.

Introduction

Psychological Determinants of Instructional Confidence and Self-Efficacy

Instructional confidence is deeply rooted in the construct of self-efficacy, defined as an individual's belief in their capacity to organize and execute the actions required to achieve specific performance goals.[7] In the pedagogical context, this refers to a lecturer's perceived competence in managing classroom behavior, implementing diverse instructional strategies, and fostering student engagement.[9] Social cognitive theory suggests that these beliefs are not static but are continuously refined through four primary sources: mastery experiences, vicarious experiences, social persuasion, and physiological states.[10]

Recent empirical studies from 2024 and 2025 highlight a robust correlation between teacher self-efficacy and student outcomes.[9] Efficacious lecturers are more likely to adopt student-centered teaching models, dedicate more time to supporting individual learners, and exhibit resilience when faced with classroom disruptions.[9] Conversely, lecturers with low self-efficacy often experience higher levels of stress and burnout, which can lead to a more rigid, teacher-centric approach that diminishes student motivation.[13]

Dimension of Teacher Self-Efficacy	**Correlation with Student Engagement (r)**	**Statistical Significance**
Instructional Strategies	0.354 to 0.397	Highly Significant ($p < 0.001$)
Student Engagement Efficacy	0.294	Significant
Classroom Management	0.228	Non-significant in specific contexts

Combined Self-Efficacy Index	**19.4% Variance Explained**	**Highly Significant**

Table 1: Influence of specific self-efficacy dimensions on multi-dimensional student engagement.[9]

The external manifestation of this internal confidence occurs through a "loop of immediacy"—a reciprocal non-verbal feedback system between the lecturer and the student.[15] Students are highly responsive to visual stimuli, including the lecturer's facial expressions and hand gestures.[16] Positive non-verbal cues, such as frequent smiling, steady eye contact, and an upright posture, signal authority and approachability, which are essential for establishing a safe learning environment where students feel comfortable asking questions.[4]

Technical Architecture of the Proposed CNN Model

The core of the automated confidence analysis system is a sequential CNN model designed to identify and classify nuanced facial patterns associated with varying degrees of instructional self-assurance.[1] Unlike pre-trained models designed for broad object detection, this architecture is optimized for the specific spatial hierarchies present in human facial expressions.[1]

Layer Configuration and Feature Mapping

The architecture comprises five convolutional layers, five max-pooling layers, and three fully connected (dense) layers.[1] The input images are standardized to a resolution of 196×196 pixels across three color channels (RGB).[1] The initial layers focus on low-level feature extraction, such as identifying the borders of the eyes and the contours of the mouth, while deeper layers capture more complex representations of facial muscle movements.[19]

Layer Designation	Operational Parameter	Output Shape	Activation/Normalization
Input Layer	Image Standardized	$196 \times 196 \times 3$	-

Conv_Block 1	3×3 Filter, 16 Kernels	$194 \times 194 \times 16$	ReLU, Batch Normalization
Pool_Block 1	2×2 Max-Pooling	$97 \times 97 \times 16$	-
Conv_Block 2	3×3 Filter, 32 Kernels	$95 \times 95 \times 32$	ReLU, Batch Normalization
Pool_Block 2	2×2 Max-Pooling	$47 \times 47 \times 32$	-
Conv_Block 3	3×3 Filter, 64 Kernels	$45 \times 45 \times 64$	ReLU, Batch Normalization
Pool_Block 3	2×2 Max-Pooling	$22 \times 22 \times 64$	-
Conv_Block 4	3×3 Filter, 128 Kernels	$20 \times 20 \times 128$	ReLU, Batch Normalization
Pool_Block 4	2×2 Max-Pooling	$10 \times 10 \times 128$	-

Conv_Block 5	3×3 Filter, 256 Kernels	$8 \times 8 \times 256$	ReLU, Batch Normalization
Pool_Block 5	2×2 Max-Pooling	$4 \times 4 \times 256$	-
Flatten Layer	Vector Transformation	4096×1	-
Dense Layer 1	256 Neurons	256×1	ReLU
Dense Layer 2	128 Neurons	128×1	ReLU
Output Layer	3 Neurons (H, M, L)	3×1	Softmax

Table 2: Sequential breakdown of the custom CNN architecture for multi-class confidence classification.[1]

Each convolutional layer utilizes a 3×3 kernel, which is the standard for modern computer vision tasks due to its efficient balance between receptive field size and computational overhead.[22] The inclusion of the Rectified Linear Unit (ReLU) activation function after each convolution introduces non-linearity, allowing the model to learn complex, non-linear decision boundaries required for distinguishing between medium and high confidence levels.[24]

Computational Optimization and Training Stability

The training of deep neural networks is often plagued by "internal covariate shift," a phenomenon where the distribution of input data for each layer changes significantly as the weights of the preceding layers are updated.[21] To mitigate this, the proposed model incorporates Batch Normalization (BN) layers after every convolutional operation.[1] BN stabilizes the learning process by re-centering and re-scaling the activations of each mini-batch, which enables the use of higher learning rates and accelerates overall network convergence.[26]

For weight optimization, the Root Mean Square Propagation (RMSProp) algorithm is employed.[1] RMSProp is particularly effective for deep networks where gradients can vary significantly across different layers.[27] It utilizes an adaptive learning rate mechanism that maintains a moving average of squared gradients to normalize the updates, preventing the learning rate from vanishing too quickly—a common failure mode in traditional gradient descent algorithms.[27]

The update mechanics for the model's parameters θ involve the following mathematical steps [27]:

1. Calculate the gradient g_t of the loss function at time step t.
2. Update the exponentially decaying moving average of squared gradients: $E[g^2]_t = \beta E[g^2]_{t-1} + (1-\beta) g_t^2$, where β is typically 0.9.
3. Adjust the parameters: $\theta_{t+1} = \theta_t - \frac{\eta}{\sqrt{E[g^2]_t + \epsilon}} \cdot g_t$, where η is the base learning rate and ϵ is a small constant (e.g., 10^{-8}) added for numerical stability.[27]

Data Engineering and Experimental Methodology

The reliability of a classification model is inextricably linked to the diversity and authenticity of the dataset upon which it is trained.[30] Traditional facial expression recognition (FER) datasets are often captured in controlled laboratory settings with subjects performing exaggerated emotions.[32] To ensure real-world applicability, the dataset for this research was collected "in the wild" by extracting frames from YouTube lecture videos featuring a wide variety of lecturers across different disciplines and demographic groups.[1]

Dataset Composition and Pre-processing

A total of 4,219 images were compiled and categorized into three classes: High Confidence, Medium Confidence, and Low Confidence.[1] Pre-processing involved resizing each frame to 196×196 pixels and applying contrast enhancement and normalization to ensure that the model focuses on structural facial features rather than variations in ambient lighting.[1]

To enhance the model's ability to generalize to new environments, data augmentation techniques were utilized during the training phase.[1] These transformations artificially increase the dataset size by applying geometric variations to the training samples, preventing the network from memorizing specific background patterns or lighting conditions.[22]

Augmentation Protocol	Range/Parameter	Impact on Model Training
Random Rotation	0° to 360°	Increases invariance to head tilt.
Horizontal/Vertical Flip	Applied	Enhances symmetry-independent detection.
Zooming	0.5 to 2.0 scale	Simulates varying camera distances.
Fold Split	81% Train / 19% Test	Ensures robust validation on unseen data.

Table 3: Data augmentation and dataset partitioning strategies.[1]

The dataset was split into 3,022 training images, 602 validation images, and 595 test images.[1] This separation is crucial for identifying potential overfitting, where a model performs well on training data but fails to generalize to new lecturer samples.[32]

Quantitative Results and Comparative Performance Analysis

The proposed CNN model was trained for 100 epochs, with the system tracking accuracy and loss metrics for both the training and validation sets at each step.[1] Initial epochs exhibited low accuracy and high loss as the filters began to learn basic spatial patterns.[1] However, as training progressed, the inclusion of Batch Normalization and the RMSProp optimizer led to rapid improvements, eventually achieving a training accuracy of 99.99%.[1]

Evaluation Metrics for Confidence Classification

The classification performance on the unseen test dataset achieved an average accuracy of 96.47%.[1] A deeper analysis using confusion matrices and standard metrics—precision, recall, and F1-score—provides a more nuanced understanding of the model's predictive capabilities across the three confidence tiers.[1]

Predicted Confidence Level	Precision	Recall	F1-Score
High Confidence	0.98	0.96	0.97
Medium Confidence	0.97	0.99	0.98
Low Confidence	0.94	0.95	0.95
Model Average	**0.963**	**0.967**	**0.967**

Table 4: Comprehensive performance metrics for the proposed custom CNN architecture.[1]

The high recall for the Medium Confidence class (0.99) is particularly noteworthy, as it suggests the model is highly sensitive to the subtle indicators of cognitive load or minor pauses that distinguish a "medium" confidence state from a "high" one.[1] The precision of 0.98 for High Confidence ensures that the system is unlikely to provide false positive assessments of excellence, maintaining the integrity of the performance monitoring process.[1]

Benchmarking Against Industry Standards

To validate the superiority of the custom-designed sequential model, a comparative analysis was performed using two widely recognized architectures: VGG16 and AlexNet.[1] While both pre-trained models achieved respectable results, they were surpassed by the specialized CNN proposed in this study.[1]

Architecture	**Accuracy (%)**	**F1-Score (Avg)**	**Performance Advantage**
Proposed Sequential CNN	**96.47**	**0.96**	**Reference Model**
VGG16	93.61	0.94	-2.86%
AlexNet	92.94	0.93	-3.53%

Table 5: Comparative benchmarking of the custom model vs. standard deep learning architectures.[1]

The performance gap highlights the trade-offs inherent in using general-purpose deep networks for specialized tasks.[39] VGG16, with its significantly larger parameter count (over 138 million), is more prone to overfitting on smaller, specialized datasets and requires greater computational resources for real-time inference.[23] AlexNet, while more efficient, lacks the depth and architectural refinements, such as consistent batch normalization, required to capture the fine-grained facial cues essential for high-accuracy confidence analysis.[24]

Interpretability and Explainable AI in Pedagogical Feedback

A critical barrier to the adoption of deep learning in educational settings is the "black-box" nature of neural networks, where the reasoning behind a specific classification remains opaque to the user.[41] For automated evaluation tools to be effective, lecturers must be able to understand which specific behaviors—such as eye contact, smiling, or posture—contributed to their confidence score.[43]

Visualization via Grad-CAM

Gradient-weighted Class Activation Mapping (Grad-CAM) is an explainable AI (XAI) technique that visualizes the regions of an image that most influenced the model's prediction.[44] In the context of lecturer confidence, Grad-CAM typically generates a heatmap identifying the eyes, mouth, and eyebrows as the primary regions of interest.[45]

1. **High Confidence Visualization:** Often shows intense activation around the mouth (indicating clear, active lip movement during speech) and a steady gaze directed toward the camera or audience.[3]
2. **Low Confidence Visualization:** Frequently highlights regions associated with rapid blinking, erratic head orientation, or prolonged lip stillness, which are structural markers of hesitation or internal stress.[36]

Grounding in Facial Action Units (FAUs)

An even more advanced form of interpretability is provided by the DEFAULTS (Deterministic Explanations through a Facial Action Unit visuaL-Textual System) framework.[43] This system correlates the CNN's output with the Facial Action Coding System (FACS), which decomposes facial expressions into specific muscle movements known as Facial Action Units (FAUs).[43] By providing both a visual highlight of the activated FAU (e.g., an eyebrow raiser) and a textual description of its meaning, the system engenders higher levels of trust and helps educators engage in more meaningful self-reflection.[43]

Impact on Cognitive Load and Student Engagement Dynamics

The implementation of automated confidence analysis has profound implications for the cognitive processes underlying learning.[13] Cognitive Load Theory (CLT) posits that the human working memory has a limited capacity for processing new information, which is divided into three components: intrinsic load, extraneous load, and germane load.[13]

Optimization of Cognitive Resources

A confident, well-organized lecturer serves as a critical mechanism for reducing "extraneous cognitive load"—the mental effort wasted on deciphering ambiguous instructions or interpreting signs of instructor hesitation.[14] When a lecturer projects self-assurance, students can reallocate their psychological resources toward "germane load," which involves the active construction of mental schemas and the deep integration of new knowledge.[13]

Cognitive Load Category	**Source of Load**	**Impact of Confident Lecturing**
Intrinsic Load	Subject complexity.	Better managed through clear, confident explanations.

Extraneous Load	Poor instructional design.	Significantly reduced by organized, self-assured delivery.
Germane Load	Schema construction.	Maximized as students focus on conceptual connections.

Table 6: The relationship between instructional confidence and student cognitive load components.[13]

Furthermore, instructional confidence directly influences student engagement, which is now understood as a multi-dimensional construct comprising emotional, cognitive, and behavioral facets.[3] Perceived teacher support, signaled through confident non-verbal immediacy, builds foundational trust and academic resilience.[49] This enables students to persist through challenging content, such as complex foundational concepts in science or mathematics, which they might otherwise find overwhelming.[51]

Ethical Governance and Algorithmic Bias Mitigation

The integration of facial recognition technology (FRT) for performance monitoring raises significant ethical challenges regarding privacy, surveillance, and fairness.[53] The European Union's AI Act classifies AI systems used in education as "high-risk," necessitating rigorous transparency and accountability standards.[55]

Addressing Demographic Disparities

A primary ethical risk is algorithmic bias, where a model performs inconsistently across different racial, gender, or age groups.[56] Studies have shown that facial recognition accuracy is often lowest for people of color and women, with error rates as high as 34.7% for darker-skinned females in some commercial systems.[57]

Demographic Indicator	Documented Accuracy Bias	Ethical Implication
Middle-age White Males	Highest accuracy/lowest error.	Potential for standardizing a narrow "ideal."

Women/Non-binary	Higher false-positive rates.	Risks unfair professional assessments.
Cultural Variance	Different "display rules" (Asian vs. Western).	Misinterpretation of stoicism as lack of confidence.
Age-related Changes	Wrinkles/folds can confound emotion labels.	Potential for age-based discrimination.

Table 7: Societal and demographic biases in facial recognition performance.[57]

In the context of lecturer evaluation, these biases could lead to the systemic penalization of faculty members from diverse backgrounds.[53] Institutions must implement robust data governance policies, including regular bias audits and human-in-the-loop oversight, to ensure that automated assessments do not exacerbate existing educational inequities.[61]

Surveillance and Professional Autonomy

There is also a concern that continuous automated monitoring could create a "policing mindset" that stifles pedagogical creativity and risk-taking.[54] If lecturers feel they are constantly being "scored" by an algorithm, they may prioritize performing for the system rather than authentically connecting with their students.[54] To prevent this, automated evaluation tools should be framed primarily as formative support for professional development rather than as punitive metrics for summative assessment.[37]

Future Trajectories: Multimodal Fusion and Physiological Sensing

While the visual-based CNN approach presented in this study achieves high accuracy, the future of confidence analysis lies in the fusion of multiple data streams.[66] Analyzing facial expressions in isolation can be limited by factors such as lighting, camera angle, and the inherent ability of some individuals to mask their true emotional states.[20]

Integration of Auditory and Linguistic Cues

Synchronously integrating visual cues with auditory analysis provides a more holistic profile of instructional performance.[66] Acoustic-prosodic features, such as pitch variation, speech rate, and the presence of disfluencies (e.g., filler words like "um" or "uh"), are highly reliable indicators of both confidence and cognitive load.[66] Modern Transformer-based architectures, such as BERT or RoBERTa, can further analyze the textual content of the lecture to identify semantic cues that signal self-assurance or uncertainty.[70]

Physiological Biometrics: The Role of HRV

The cutting edge of affective computing research involves the use of IoT-based wearable sensors to capture Heart Rate Variability (HRV).[66] HRV is an objective physiological biomarker for stress and emotional arousal that operates independently of voluntary control.[66] By identifying "inter-modal conflicts"—such as a speaker presenting a smiling face while exhibiting elevated HRV (indicating internal anxiety)—multimodal systems can detect inauthenticity or hidden stress that unimodal systems would miss.[66]

Conclusion

The custom-built Convolutional Neural Network architecture detailed in this report represents a significant advancement in the objective assessment of instructional performance. With a classification accuracy of 96.47%, the model effectively addresses the technical challenges of identifying nuanced lecturer confidence levels from real-world visual data.[1] The integration of Batch Normalization and the RMSProp optimizer ensures that the system is both stable and computationally efficient, making it suitable for real-time pedagogical applications.[1]

However, the transition from experimental success to institutional adoption must be guided by rigorous ethical and pedagogical frameworks. Automated confidence analysis should be used not to replace human judgment, but to augment it—serving as a "cognitive partner" that provides lecturers with transparent, actionable insights for self-reflection and growth.[72] By grounding technology in Explainable AI and maintaining a steadfast commitment to bias mitigation and student wellbeing, the academic community can leverage these neural architectures to elevate the quality of instruction and enrich the global learning experience.[61]

References

1. Alruwaili, M., & Alasmari, A. (2025). Examining the Impact of Teachers' Cognitive Load on Student Engagement and Learning Outcomes in Saudi Classrooms. *The Open Psychology Journal*, 18(1).
2. Chatterjee, R., Halder, R., Maitra, T., & Pani, S. (2023). A computer vision-based perceived attention monitoring technique for smart teaching. *Multimedia Tools and Applications*, 82(8), 11523–11547.
3. Hemmings, B., & Kay, R. (2009). Lecturer self efficacy: Its related dimensions and the influence of gender and qualifications. *Issues in Educational Research*, 19(3), 243.
4. Keelson, S. A., Addo, J. O., & Dodor, A. (2024). The Influence of Lecturer Non-Verbal Cues on Student Perceptions of Teaching Quality: The Role of Gender and Age. *Cogent Education*, 11(1), 2325788.
5. Nahulanthran, S., Tian, L., Kulić, D., & Vered, M. (2025). Explaining Facial Expression Recognition. *arXiv*

preprint arXiv:2501.15864.

6. Nair, S., Mohan, M., Rajesh, J., & Chandran, P. (2020). On Finding the Best Learning Model for Assessing Confidence in Speech. *Proceedings of the 2020 3rd International Conference on Machine Learning and Machine Intelligence*, 58–64.
7. Selvaraju, R. R., et al. (2019). Grad-CAM: Visual Explanations from Deep Networks via Gradient-based Localization. *International Journal of Computer Vision*, 128(2), 336-359.
8. Sharp, J. G., Hemmings, B., Kay, R., & Callinan, C. (2013). An application of the revised 'Lecturer Self-Efficacy Questionnaire': An evidence based route for initiating transformational change. *Journal of Further and Higher Education*, 37(5), 643–674.
9. Shivangini, et al. (2025). A CNN Model for Facial Emotion Recognition. *Journal of Scientific Research and Reports*, 31(8), 653-661.
10. Trabelsi, Z., et al. (2023). Real-Time Attention Monitoring System for Classroom: A Deep Learning Approach for Student's Behavior Recognition. *Big Data and Cognitive Computing*, 7(1), 48.
11. UNESCO. (2023). Recommendation on the Ethics of Artificial Intelligence. *UNESCO Digital Library*.
12. Wang, M., Chen, Z., Shi, Y., Wang, Z., & Xiang, C. (2022). Instructors' expressive nonverbal behavior hinders learning when learners' prior knowledge is low. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13, 810451.

Works cited

1. A CNN-Based Automated Approach for Confidence Analysis of Lecturers.docx
2. Perceived teacher support and student engagement among higher education students – a systematic literature review - PMC, accessed on May 1, 2026, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11817619/
3. The impact of Taekwondo instructors' nonverbal communication on student engagement in liberal arts education: evidence from Chinese universities - PMC, accessed on May 1, 2026, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12695762/
4. Full article: The influence of lecturer non-verbal cues on student perceptions of teaching quality: the role of gender and age - Taylor & Francis, accessed on May 1, 2026, https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/2331186X.2024.2325788
5. Advancing Facial Feature Recognition: A Comprehensive Review of Deep Learning, Challenges, and Future Directions - IJIRT, accessed on May 1, 2026, https://ijirt.org/publishedpaper/IJIRT198985_PAPER.pdf
6. A Survey on State-of-the-art Deep Learning Applications and Challenges - arXiv, accessed on May 1, 2026, https://arxiv.org/html/2403.17561v6
7. Lecturer self efficacy: Its related dimensions and the influence of gender and qualifications, accessed on May 1, 2026, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/255626489_Lecturer_self_efficacy_Its_related_dimensions_and_the_influence_of_gender_and_qualifications
8. Lecturer self-efficacy, research skills, and publication output Abstract, accessed on May 1, 2026,

https://www.aare.edu.au/data/publications/2008/hem08131.pdf
9. The effect of teacher self-efficacy on learning engagement of secondary school students, accessed on May 1, 2026, https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/2331186X.2024.2308432
10. Lecturer self efficacy: Its related dimensions and the influence of gender and qualifications - Issues In Educational Research, accessed on May 1, 2026, https://www.iier.org.au/iier19/hemmings3.pdf
11. An application of the revised 'Lecturer Self-Efficacy Questionnaire': an evidence-based route for initiating transformationa - CORE, accessed on May 1, 2026, https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/42585041.pdf
12. Teacher Support, Academic Self-Efficacy, Student Engagement, and Academic Achievement in Emergency Online Learning - MDPI, accessed on May 1, 2026, https://www.mdpi.com/2076-328X/13/9/704
13. Examining the Impact of Teachers' Cognitive Load on Student Engagement and Learning Outcomes in Saudi Classrooms - ResearchGate, accessed on May 1, 2026, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/387993808_Examining_the_Impact_of_Teachers'_Cognitive_Load_on_Student_Engagement_and_Learning_Outcomes_in_Saudi_Classrooms
14. Examining the Impact of Teachers' Cognitive Load on Student Engagement and Learning Outcomes in Saudi Classrooms - The Open Psychology Journal, accessed on May 1, 2026, https://www.openpsychologyjournal.com/VOLUME/18/ELOCATOR/e18743501353561/FULLTEXT/
15. View of Reading Between the Lines: How Teachers' Nonverbal Communication Shapes Learning - Scholar Publishing, accessed on May 1, 2026, https://journals.scholarpublishing.org/index.php/ASSRJ/article/view/19443/11528
16. Journal of Research Horizons in Education, accessed on May 1, 2026, https://globaleduhorizon.com/jrhe/article/download/1/7
17. EJ1453592 - The Influence of Lecturer Non-Verbal Cues on Student Perceptions of Teaching Quality: The Role of Gender and Age, Cogent Education, 2024 - ERIC, accessed on May 1, 2026, https://eric.ed.gov/?q=addo&ff1=dtySince_2021&id=EJ1453592
18. THE IMPACT OF NON-VERBAL COMMUNICATION IN TEACHING: ENHANCING EDUCATIONAL EFFECTIVENESS - GAP BODHI TARU, accessed on May 1, 2026, https://www.gapbodhitaru.org/res/articles/%2889-95%29%20THE%20IMPACT%20OF%20NON-VERBAL%20COMMUNICATION%20IN%20TEACHING%20ENHANCING%20EDUCATIONAL%20EFFECTIVENESS.pdf
19. Facial expression recognition model based on CNN and data augmentation method - Semantic Scholar, accessed on May 1, 2026, https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/2aae/b5d3344337f5be825f0c80aacc5d190ed074.pdf
20. CNN-LSTM based emotion recognition using Chebyshev moment and K-fold validation with multi-

library SVM - PMC, accessed on May 1, 2026, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11975114/

21. What is Batch Normalization in CNN? - GeeksforGeeks, accessed on May 1, 2026, https://www.geeksforgeeks.org/computer-vision/what-is-batch-normalization-in-cnn/
22. A Comparative of Facial Emotion Recognition Performance Based on Cnn, Vggnet And Resnet, accessed on May 1, 2026, https://www.itm-conferences.org/articles/itmconf/pdf/2025/09/itmconf_cseit2025_02015.pdf
23. A Review of Popular Deep Learning Architectures: AlexNet, VGG16, and GoogleNet, accessed on May 1, 2026, https://www.digitalocean.com/community/tutorials/popular-deep-learning-architectures-alexnet-vgg-googlenet
24. Comparative Analysis of AlexNet, ResNet-50, and VGG-19 Performance for Automated Feature Recognition in Pedestrian Crash Diagrams - MDPI, accessed on May 1, 2026, https://www.mdpi.com/2076-3417/15/6/2928
25. Batch normalization - Wikipedia, accessed on May 1, 2026, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Batch_normalization
26. Batch Normalization in CNN - Medium, accessed on May 1, 2026, https://medium.com/biased-algorithms/batch-normalization-in-cnn-81c0bd832c63
27. A Complete Guide to the RMSprop Optimizer | Built In, accessed on May 1, 2026, https://builtin.com/articles/rmsprop-optimizer
28. Understanding RMSProp: A Simple Guide to One of Deep Learning's Powerful Optimizers | by Piyush Kashyap | Medium, accessed on May 1, 2026, https://medium.com/@piyushkashyap045/understanding-rmsprop-a-simple-guide-to-one-of-deep-learnings-powerful-optimizers-403baeed9922
29. RMSProp Optimizer in Deep Learning - GeeksforGeeks, accessed on May 1, 2026, https://www.geeksforgeeks.org/deep-learning/rmsprop-optimizer-in-deep-learning/
30. Evaluating Facial Expression Recognition Datasets for Deep Learning: A Benchmark Study with Novel Similarity Metrics - arXiv, accessed on May 1, 2026, https://arxiv.org/html/2503.20428v1
31. CNN-Based Facial Expression Recognition with Simultaneous Consideration of Inter-Class and Intra-Class Variations - MDPI, accessed on May 1, 2026, https://www.mdpi.com/1424-8220/23/24/9658
32. Weaknesses of Facial Emotion Recognition Systems - arXiv, accessed on May 1, 2026, https://arxiv.org/html/2601.12402v1
33. Grad-CAM visualization of the proposed model: (a) original facial images - ResearchGate, accessed on May 1, 2026, https://www.researchgate.net/figure/Grad-CAM-visualization-of-the-proposed-model-a-original-facial-images-b_fig15_398944471
34. "Facial Expression Recognition Using Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN" by Shekhar Singh - OAsis: UNLV's - University of Nevada, Las Vegas, accessed on May 1, 2026, https://oasis.library.unlv.edu/thesesdissertations/4852/
35. MNet-10: A robust shallow convolutional neural network model

performing ablation study on medical images assessing the effectiveness of applying optimal data augmentation technique - Frontiers, accessed on May 1, 2026, https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/medicine/articles/10.3389/fmed.2022.924979/full
36. Real-Time Confidence Detection through Facial Expressions and Hand Gestures - ResearchGate, accessed on May 1, 2026, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/392597375_Real-Time_Confidence_Detection_through_Facial_Expressions_and_Hand_Gestures
37. Evaluating the Effectiveness and Ethical Implications of AI Detection Tools in Higher Education - MDPI, accessed on May 1, 2026, https://www.mdpi.com/2078-2489/16/10/905
38. Emotion Detection by Employing Deep Learning CNN Model - IEEE Xplore, accessed on May 1, 2026, https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/10594468/
39. ResNet vs VGG16: Which CNN Architecture Performs Better on CIFAR-10? - Medium, accessed on May 1, 2026, https://medium.com/@peres/resnet-vs-vgg16-which-cnn-architecture-performs-better-on-cifar-10-b6d6bb6e43c4
40. Comparative Analysis of CNN Architecture for Emotion Classification on Human Faces - CEUR-WS.org, accessed on May 1, 2026, https://ceur-ws.org/Vol-3716/paper4.pdf
41. Explaining Facial Expression Recognition - arXiv, accessed on May 1, 2026, https://arxiv.org/pdf/2501.15864
42. Explainable Face Recognition via Improved Localization - MDPI, accessed on May 1, 2026, https://www.mdpi.com/2079-9292/14/14/2745
43. Explaining Facial Expression Recognition - arXiv, accessed on May 1, 2026, https://arxiv.org/abs/2501.15864
44. Grad-CAM: Visual Explanations from Deep Networks via Gradient-based Localization - arXiv, accessed on May 1, 2026, https://arxiv.org/abs/1610.02391
45. Grad-CAM visualization (Center). - ResearchGate, accessed on May 1, 2026, https://www.researchgate.net/figure/Grad-CAM-visualization-Center_fig4_361685799
46. A Knowledge Distillation-Based Approach to Enhance Transparency of Classifier Models, accessed on May 1, 2026, https://arxiv.org/html/2502.15959v1
47. Defining and Analyzing Nervousness Using AI-Based Facial ... - MDPI, accessed on May 1, 2026, https://www.mdpi.com/2227-7390/13/11/1745
48. IMPACT OF TEACHER FEEDBACK ON STUDENT PERFORMANCE - GRDS Publishing, accessed on May 1, 2026, https://grdspublishing.org/index.php/PUPIL/article/download/2773/2558/4807
49. Does Teacher Support Scaffold Engagement? Academic Self-Efficacy as Mediator and Proactive Personality as Moderator Among Chinese High School Students - PMC, accessed on May 1, 2026, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12649727/
50. The impact of teacher emotional

support on learning engagement among college students mediated by academic self-efficacy and academic resilience - PMC, accessed on May 1, 2026, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11779917/
51. From Uncertainty to Competence: A Longitudinal Study of Confidence Development in Occupational Therapy Education - PMC, accessed on May 1, 2026, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12723319/
52. Research Review: How Perceived Teacher Support Influences Student Engagement, accessed on May 1, 2026, https://grovecenter.science.psu.edu/research-review-08-2025
53. Artificial Intelligence (AI) in Education: Addressing Societal and Ethical Challenges in K-12 Settings - ISLS Repository, accessed on May 1, 2026, https://repository.isls.org/bitstream/1/8490/1/ICLS2022_1373-1376.pdf
54. What are the ethical considerations of AI in classrooms? - Euneos, accessed on May 1, 2026, https://www.euneoscourses.eu/what-are-the-ethical-considerations-of-ai-in-classrooms/
55. AI Ethics in Education 2025: Key Challenges and Reviews - Hastewire, accessed on May 1, 2026, https://hastewire.com/blog/ai-ethics-in-education-2025-key-challenges-and-reviews
56. Ethical Problems in the Use of Artificial Intelligence by University Educators - MDPI, accessed on May 1, 2026, https://www.mdpi.com/2227-7102/15/10/1322
57. Biased Technology: The Automated Discrimination of Facial Recognition - ACLU of Minnesota, accessed on May 1, 2026, https://www.aclu-mn.org/news/biased-technology-automated-discrimination-facial-recognition/
58. Facial Recognition and Privacy: Concerns and Solutions in the Age of AI - ISACA, accessed on May 1, 2026, https://www.isaca.org/resources/news-and-trends/isaca-now-blog/2025/facial-recognition-and-privacy-concerns-and-solutions-in-the-age-of-ai
59. Modeling Cultural Bias in Facial Expression Recognition with Adaptive Agents - arXiv, accessed on May 1, 2026, https://arxiv.org/html/2510.13557v1
60. The State of Facial Recognition Technology in 2025: Accuracy, Performance, and Future Trends - andopen, accessed on May 1, 2026, https://andopen.co.kr/the-state-of-facial-recognition-technology-in-2025-accuracy-performance-and-future-trends/
61. Effective and Ethical AI Implementation: What Educators Need to Know, accessed on May 1, 2026, https://education.jhu.edu/news/effective-and-ethical-ai-implementation-what-educators-need-to-know/
62. Examples of Ethical AI for Educators in Higher Education - Inspera, accessed on May 1, 2026, https://inspera.com/ai/examples-of-ethical-ai-for-educators/
63. Teachers using AI in Education: Let's build an ethical and practical framework together ! : r/AIEducation - Reddit, accessed on May 1, 2026, https://www.reddit.com/r/AIEducation/comments/1rp4bsd/teachers_using_ai_in_education_lets_build_an/
64. AI-Powered Teacher Performance Evaluation and Pedagogical Strategy

Optimization in Higher Education - Rademics Research Institute, accessed on May 1, 2026, https://www.rademics.com/upload/17447892841238108951 67ff5f24abeb2PREVIEW%2016.pdf

65. An AI-powered framework for assessing teacher performance in classroom interactions: a deep learning approach - Frontiers, accessed on May 1, 2026, https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/artificial-intelligence/articles/10.3389/frai.2025.1553051/full
66. (PDF) A Multimodal AI Framework for Real-Time Emotion and ..., accessed on May 1, 2026, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/400630925_A_Multimodal_AI_Framework_for_Real-Time_Emotion_and_Confidence_Conflict_Detection_in_Mock_Interviews
67. A Baseline Multimodal Approach to Emotion Recognition in Conversations - arXiv, accessed on May 1, 2026, https://arxiv.org/html/2602.00914v1
68. (PDF) Multimodal Emotion Recognition Using Visual, Vocal and ..., accessed on May 1, 2026, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/383885857_Multimodal_Emotion_Recognition_Using_Visual_Vocal_and_Physiological_Signals_A_Review
69. Partnering with AI in teacher education? Using an automatic question detection tool to reflect on classroom interaction - Taylor & Francis, accessed on May 1, 2026, https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/15391523.2025.2504355
70. (PDF) MULTIMODAL EMOTION RECOGNITION USING AUDIO-TEXT FUSION AND TRANSFORMER-BASED CONTEXTUAL REPRESENTATION LEARNING - ResearchGate, accessed on May 1, 2026, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/400884309_MULTIMODAL_EMOTION_RECOGNITION_USING_AUDIO-TEXT_FUSION_AND_TRANSFORMER-BASED_CONTEXTUAL_REPRESENTATION_LEARNING
71. Multimodal Emotion Recognition in Conversations: A Survey of Methods, Trends, Challenges and Prospects - ACL Anthology, accessed on May 1, 2026, https://aclanthology.org/2025.findings-emnlp.332.pdf
72. (PDF) AI Literacy: Elementary and Secondary Teachers' Use of AI-Tools, Reported Confidence, and Professional Development Needs - ResearchGate, accessed on May 1, 2026, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/395487845_AI_Literacy_Elementary_and_Secondary_Teachers'_Use_of_AI-Tools_Reported_Confidence_and_Professional_Development_Needs
73. How AI integrate in Teacher education Instituions? - ResearchGate, accessed on May 1, 2026, https://www.researchgate.net/post/How_AI_integrate_in_Teacher_education_Instituions
74. Pedagogical Tact Insights in Online Learning Communities - MDPI, accessed on May 1, 2026, https://www.mdpi.com/2227-7102/16/1/84